

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**  
11

12 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
13 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944 SC  
MDL No. 1917

14 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**  
15 **SHARP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO**  
16 **REPLY**

17 This Document Relates to:

18 IN RE: APPLICATION FOR JUDICIAL  
19 ASSISTANCE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF  
20 SUBPOENAS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §  
21 1782 TO OBTAIN DISCOVERY FOR USE IN  
22 A FOREIGN PROCEEDING

Case No. CV-12-80151 MISC

1 Sharp timely filed an Objection to the Special Master's Recommendation pursuant to  
2 paragraph 16 of this Court's June 16, 2008 Order Appointing Special Master, and, pursuant to  
3 paragraph 17 of that Order, provided the Court with the relevant record for consideration of the  
4 Special Master's Recommendation. (Case 3:07-cv-5944-SC, Dkt. No. 302.) Defendants and  
5 Saveri & Saveri, Inc. each separately filed a response to the Objection on November 13, 2012.  
6 (Dkt. No. 1442 and Dkt. No. 1447.) The Order Amending the Order Appointing the Special  
7 Master provides for responding to an objection, but does not specifically provide for a reply  
8 brief. (MDL Dkt. No. 1232). Therefore, pursuant to Local Rule 7-11, Sharp sought leave to  
9 reply to Defendants and Saveri.

10 The Court having considered Sharp's Motion for Leave to Reply, and good cause  
11 appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

12 Sharp's Motion to for Leave to Reply is hereby GRANTED.

13  
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15  
16 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 HON. SAMUEL CONTI  
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28